

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 02/20/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILI | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/811,250 | 03/ | /16/2001 | Paul J. Cahill | 7613-80971 | 8270 |
| 7: | 590 | 02/20/2003 | | | |
| Welsh & Katz, Ltd. | | | | EXAMINER | |
| Thomas W. Tolpin 22nd Floor 120 South Riverside Plaza Chicago, IL 60606 | | | SHORT, PATRICIA A | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 3-, | | | | 1712 | 8 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | Applicati n No. O9 211250 Calul Examiner Group Art Unit 1712 |
|---|---|
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address- |
| Peri d for Reply | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION. | TO EXPIRE ONE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE |
| - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default | 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Itute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | ember 3, 2002 |
| | ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | |
| \times Claim(s) $1-5,9-12,18-20$ | is/are pending in the application. |
| Of the above claim(s) 1-5, 9-12 | is/are pending in the application. / 8 - 2 こ is/are withdrawn from consideration. |
| | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | |
| • • | |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are rejected. |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are rejected. |
| □ Claim(s) | is/are rejected. |
| ☐ Claim(s)———————————————————————————————————— | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. In Review, PTO-948. In approved |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. In Review, PTO-948. In approved |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are object. | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. In Review, PTO-948. In approved |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are objection ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objection ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. In Review, PTO-948. In approved |
| □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner. inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ithe priority documents have been |
| □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objection □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). In the priority documents have been ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |
| □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the Certified copies not received: □ *Certified copies not received: | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner. inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). it he priority documents have been ere ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |
| □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The o | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been ser) ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |
| □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Trity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the Certified copies not received: □ *Certified copies not received: | is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. Ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. cted to by the Examiner. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). If the priority documents have been ser) ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). |

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.____

Art Unit: 1712

The amendment filed on December 3, 2002 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claims are not readable on the elected invention because the elected claims were drawn to compositions comprising polyethylene terephthalate and elastomeric material, classified in class 525, subclass 177, while amended claims are drawn to foamed products classified in 521, subclass 138. The claims are related as intermediate and final produce. As the intermediate can be used other than to produce foam product, such as composition to produce a molded article without foaming agent, and as there is nothing on the record to show them to be obvious variants, the claims are deemed patentably distinct. The foamed products belong to non-elected Group III, set forth in the restriction requirement made June 5, 2002.

Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be a bona fide attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.

P. Short

February 10, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9310

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Polvieur De Short